




Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie

REPORT No. 310



MIDLATITUDE FORCING MECHANISMS FOR GLACIER MASS BALANCE INVESTIGATED USING GENERAL CIRCULATION MODELS

by

Bernhard K. Reichert Lennart Bengtsson Johannes Oerlemans

HAMBURG, September 2000

AUTHORS:

Bernhard K. Reichert
Lennart Bengtsson

Max-Planck-Institut
für Meteorologie

Johannes Oerlemans

Institute for Marine and
Atmospheric Research Utrecht,
Utrecht University
P.O. Box 80005
NL-3508 TA Utrecht
The Netherlands

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT
FÜR METEOROLOGIE
BUNDESSTRASSE 55
D - 20146 HAMBURG
GERMANY

Tel.: +49-(0)40-4 11 73-0
Telefax: +49-(0)40-4 11 73-298
E-Mail: <name> @ dkrz.de

**Midlatitude Forcing Mechanisms for Glacier Mass Balance
Investigated Using General Circulation Models**

B. K. REICHERT AND L. BENGTSSON

Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

J. OERLEMANS

Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

*Accepted to
Journal of Climate*

Corresponding author address:

*Bernhard K. Reichert,
Max Planck Institute for Meteorology,
Bundesstrasse 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.
E-mail: reichert@dkrz.de*

ISSN 0937 - 1060

ABSTRACT

A process-oriented modeling approach is applied in order to simulate glacier mass balance for individual glaciers using statistically downscaled general circulation models (GCMs). Glacier specific Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristics based on a mass balance model of intermediate complexity are used to simulate mass balances of Nigardsbreen (Norway) and Rhonegletscher (Switzerland). Simulations using reanalyses (ECMWF) for the period 1979-1993 are in good agreement with in situ mass balance measurements for Nigardsbreen. The method is applied to multi-century integrations of coupled (ECHAM4/OPYC) and mixed-layer (ECHAM4/MLO) GCMs excluding external forcing. A high correlation between decadal variations in the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and mass balance of the glaciers is found. The dominant factor for this relationship is the strong impact of winter precipitation associated with the NAO. A high NAO phase means enhanced (reduced) winter precipitation for Nigardsbreen (Rhonegletscher), typically leading to a higher (lower) than normal annual mass balance. This mechanism, entirely due to internal variations in the climate system, can explain observed strong positive mass balances for Nigardsbreen and possibly other maritime Norwegian glaciers within the period 1980-1995. It can also partly be responsible for recent strong negative mass balances of Alpine glaciers.

1. Introduction

Observed (or reconstructed) glacier fluctuations provide important information on natural climate variability and are also key elements for the early detection of climate change and possible anthropogenic impacts on climate. They result from changes in the mass and energy balance at the earth's surface and therefore represent valuable climatic proxy data. Due to the typical properties of a melting ice or snow surface, glaciers represent highly sensitive climate indicators. The glacierization of the well-documented European Alps, for example, has lost roughly 30 to 40% in surface area and about 50% of its original volume since the middle of the last century, the end of the so-called "Little Ice Age". Since 1980, a remarkable loss of 10 to 20% of ice volume has been observed (Haeberli and Beniston, 1998).

Changes in glacier mass balance (defined as the annual mass gain or loss at the surface; Paterson, 1994) can be viewed as the direct, undelayed reaction of a glacier to climatic variations, whereas variations in glacier length are the indirect, delayed, filtered, and strongly enhanced response. Cumulative glacier mass changes lead to changes in ice thickness which then influence the dynamic redistribution of mass by glacier flow (Haeberli, 1995). Monitoring glacier mass balance is therefore a direct way to reconstruct climatic variations. However, the available mass balance records are relatively short (usually less than 50 years) compared to relatively long records of glacier length (e.g. the record of Untere Grindelwaldgletscher, Switzerland begins in 1534).

General Circulation Models (GCMs) can be used in order to investigate the behavior of glaciers under specific climatic conditions. Natural glacier fluctuations

exclusively due to internal variations in the climate system can be simulated. A major advantage of using GCMs is having the opportunity to investigate underlying physical mechanisms responsible for glacier fluctuations inherent to the climate system. If desired, specific external forcings such as, for example, changing greenhouse gas concentrations, can be included.

In this study, we propose a process-oriented modeling approach for the simulation of mass balance of individual glaciers using downscaled GCMs. This approach has two main characteristic features.

Firstly, we simulate the mass balance of *individual* glaciers using a process-based and glacier-specific mass balance model. We apply glacier-specific seasonal sensitivity characteristics calculated from a mass balance model of intermediate complexity. Although several attempts have been made to use one equation to describe a large number of glaciers or even all glaciers on earth (e.g. Wigley and Raper, 1993; Oerlemans, 1989), the response of individual glaciers to a climatic signal can vary enormously due to their different geometries or their location in different climatic regimes, leading to entirely different energy-balance characteristics. Climate sensitivity may generally vary at least over one order of magnitude for single glaciers, depending to a large extent on precipitation (Oerlemans and Fortuin, 1992). We therefore concentrate on individual glaciers; nevertheless, they will to some extent be representative for glaciers with comparable characteristic features in the same region.

Secondly, we apply a statistical downscaling approach in order to obtain local conditions from GCM output. Glacier volume has been calculated using regional temperature patterns from the direct coarse grid point output of a GCM (Gregory and

Oerlemans, 1998) in which the impact of precipitation has not been considered. However, climate sensitivity of glaciers depends on *local* temperature and precipitation. The direct use of coarse GCM grid points (typical resolution, e.g. about $2.8^\circ \times 2.8^\circ$) naturally results in a poor representation of the local climate, especially for precipitation which is highly dependant on local orographic conditions. In this study, both temperature as well as precipitation are therefore downscaled (section 2) using daily European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalyses (Gibson et al., 1996) and local station data. Downscaled temperature and precipitation are then used as input for the mass balance modeling approach (section 3).

For validation of the entire approach including downscaling and mass balance modeling, we simulate glacier mass balance directly using ECMWF reanalyses for comparison with in situ mass balance measurements (section 4). This is an important basis for the application of the method to various GCM experiments (section 5). The process-oriented modeling approach is particularly interesting since it enables us to investigate forcing mechanisms for glacier mass gain or loss of individual glaciers. As an example, the relationship between the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the behavior of specific European glaciers will be investigated for Nigardsbreen glacier (Norway) and Rhonegletscher (Switzerland) considering the individual seasonal impact of temperature and precipitation (section 6). On the basis of the obtained results, we will furthermore discuss the recent development of observed glacier mass balances, including the observed strong positive mass balances of Nigardsbreen during recent decades (section 7).

2. Statistical Downscaling Approach

A comparison of three methods of downscaling (Cubasch et al., 1996) shows that direct interpolation of GCM grid points to a local site results in a poor representation of the local climate and that statistical downscaling is an appropriate and inexpensive tool for regions with sufficient observational data to train the model.

a. Method

The statistical downscaling approach used in the present study is based on daily operational weather station data in the vicinity of the glacier sites to be investigated and on an extensive set of potential daily large-scale predictors obtained from ECMWF reanalyses. The ECMWF reanalyses consist of a validated and reasonably consistent global data set of assimilated data for the period 1979-1993 (Gibson et al., 1997). Robust statistical relationships between the large-scale flow obtained from reanalyses and local variables are developed in order to be applied to the output of the European Center/Hamburg (ECHAM) GCM experiments.

A detailed description of the downscaling approach (including model validation, investigation of the role of near-surface predictors, spatial homogeneity of the model, seasonal-specific relationships, the impact of spatiotemporal resolution of predictors, etc.) can be found in Reichert et al. (1999). In the following, the performance of the statistical model for the areas of interest in this study will be briefly described.

b. Downscaling for Rhonegletscher

Rhonegletscher is a valley glacier located in the Swiss Alps (46°37'N, 8°24'E). Its altitudinal range is 2140 m to 3620 m, the total area covered by the glacier is 17 km² (Hoelzle and Haeberli, 1999). Figure 1 shows the locations of Rhonegletscher and the 24 operational weather stations used for statistical downscaling (stations 13, 14, 04, 18, and 02 are not used since the available records did not cover the complete period of the ECMWF reanalyses).

The explained variances (EV) of the statistical downscaling model with respect to observed local temperature and observed local precipitation have been calculated for individual stations in the area of investigation. For this calculation, monthly-averaged values of the original daily statistical model output after removing the seasonal cycle have been used. The explained variance can be split among the large-scale predictors from ECMWF reanalyses chosen by the statistical model in order to demonstrate their individual impact. Only predictors above the 850 hPa level have been used (Reichert et al., 1999).

For observed local temperature, the explained variance r^2 averaged over all stations is 80.0% (correlation coefficient $r = 0.9$). Dominant large-scale predictors are temperature at 850 hPa (EV: 41.3%), a seasonal cycle predictor (cosine function with a period of one year, extrema at January 1 and July 1; EV: 14.2%; see Reichert et al., 1999), geopotential thickness at 700-850 hPa (EV: 8.0%), and relative humidity at 850 hPa (EV: 5.9%). However, the composition of predictors changes significantly between individual stations. Stations 08 Guetsch and 11 Saentis are located at high elevations (2284 m and 2500 m respectively). Here, the only predictor

chosen by the model is geopotential thickness at 700-850 hPa, explaining more than 95% of the monthly-averaged local temperature. For station 21 Jungfrau (elevation: 3576 m) the predictors are geopotential thickness at 500-850 hPa and at 400-500 hPa together explaining 94% of the observed values. The predominant predictor for all other stations (elevations below 1700 m) is generally large-scale temperature at 850 hPa.

For observed local precipitation, both the explained variance as well as the spatial homogeneity of predictors are generally lower than for temperature, as can be expected. The characteristic composition of predictors is dependent on the meridional location of the stations within the area of investigation. Stations located more in the south of the Alps (stations 01, 03, 05, 06, 07, 19, 20, and 28) show a more uniform composition of predictors than other stations. The averaged explained variance in this area is 60%, predominant predictors are vorticity at 700 hPa (EV about 15%), zonal wind at 700 hPa (EV: 15-20%), and vertical velocity at 500 hPa (EV: 10-15%). For stations located in the north (stations 09-12, 16, 22, 23, 31) and the central parts of the Alps (stations 08, 21, 24-27, 29, 30), vertical velocity at 850 hPa plays an important role (EV: 15-25% for some stations) in addition to vorticity at 700 hPa (EV: up to 15%). Also meridional wind at 850 hPa (EV: 5-15%) and at 700 hPa (particularly for stations 10, 12, and 16; EV: 10-15%) may have a considerable additional impact, dependant on the local setting of the stations.

Among all stations, station 08 Guetsch (46°39'N, 8°37'E) is the closest station to Rhonegletscher (Figure 1) and also its altitude (2284 m asl) lies within the altitudinal range of the glacier. This station is therefore used to represent the local climate of the glacier site for the simulation of glacier fluctuations. The explained variance for

observed local temperature is 97% while the explained variance with respect to the *month-to-month* variability of local precipitation is below the average over all stations (51%). However, as Figure 2 demonstrates, the simulated climatology of precipitation for the period 1979-1993, which will be most important for the Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristic (SSC) of the glacier (section 3.c), is improved substantially compared to direct ECMWF reanalyses, and is in good agreement with the observed climatology (correlation coefficient $r = 0.82$; 95% significant for $r \geq 0.57$). The statistical model was validated using independent data as described in Reichert et al. (1999).

c. Downscaling for Nigardsbreen

Nigardsbreen is an outlet glacier of Jostedalbreen, the largest ice cap of the European continent. It is located in Norway (61°43'N, 7°08'E), its elevation ranges from 295 m to 1950 m above sea level. The total area of the glacier is 47 km² (Hoelzle and Haeberli, 1999).

The location of stations for Nigardsbreen and the complete application of the downscaling approach used in this study is described in Reichert et al. (1999). The explained variance for local temperature averaged over 17 stations in the vicinity of the glacier (79%) is comparable to the average for Rhonegletscher. The explained variance for local precipitation is however higher (65%) in comparison with Rhonegletscher. This is due to the fact that for the Nigardsbreen area, local precipitation is already rather well determined by large-scale vertical velocity at

850 hPa explaining more than 41% of variance alone. In this area, station Vangsnes (61°10'N, 6°39'E) will be used for the simulation of glacier fluctuations.

3. Sensitivity of Glacier Mass Balance to Meteorological Data

In this study, the sensitivity of mass balance to meteorological data is represented by glacier specific Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristics (SSC) (Oerlemans and Reichert, 2000) calculated from a mass balance model of intermediate complexity (Oerlemans, 1992). In the following, a brief summary of this approach will be given.

a. The Mass Balance Model

The *specific mass balance* M of a glacier is the resultant of all processes in a year that lead to mass gain or loss at the surface on a unit area, expressed in m water equivalent (mwe).

The *mean specific mass balance* B over the entire glacier can be expressed as

$$B = \frac{1}{S_T} \sum_i M(h_i) S(h_i)$$

with a discrete elevation-area distribution $S(h_i)$, the total glacier area S_T and the sum taken over all elevation intervals centered around the elevation h_i .

In principle, the mass balance model integrates the energy-balance equation for the ice/snow surface in time, on a grid covering the entire elevation range:

$$\Psi = (1 - \alpha) G + I_{in} + I_{out} + H_s + H_l$$

Here, the energy balance Ψ is expressed in terms of the absorbed solar radiation (albedo α , global radiation G), the incoming and outgoing longwave fluxes (I_{in} and I_{out}) and the turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat (H_s and H_l). As soon as the energy balance becomes positive, melting occurs at the surface.

The specific mass balance M can then be written as

$$M = \int_{year} [(1-f) \min(0; -\Psi L^{-1}) + P^*] dt$$

with L as the latent heat of melting, f as the fraction of meltwater that refreezes and does not contribute to mass loss, and P^* as the accumulation rate at which solid precipitation is added to the surface.

The albedo α represents a major problem due to significant feed-backs and strong variations in space and time depending on the melt and accumulation history itself. The model generates the albedo internally using a simple scheme, so that main features broadly match available data from valley glaciers. A “background albedo profile” is empirically developed so that typical albedo patterns of a valley glacier at the end of the ablation season are matched. This profile, snow depth, and an age-independent snow albedo is then used for the calculation of the glacier albedo.

Refreezing (meltwater does not run off, but penetrates into the snowpack) is considered in the model, specifying the relationship between the fraction of melt energy involved in run-off and the snow/ice temperature (Oerlemans, 1992).

b. Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristic (SSC)

In order to quantify the climate sensitivity of the mean specific balance B of a glacier and to apply it to downscaled GCM output, a Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristic (SSC) is used in this study (Oerlemans and Reichert, 2000). It represents the dependence of B on monthly perturbations in temperature and precipitation, and has been calculated from the mass balance model described above. Annual deviations ΔB of the mean specific balance B are expressed as

$$\Delta B = \sum_{k=1}^{12} [c_{T,k} (T_k - T_{ref,k}) + c_{P,k} (P_k / P_{ref,k})] + H$$

with the sum taken over all months of the year. Here, T_k and P_k represent monthly temperature and precipitation, $T_{ref,k}$ and $P_{ref,k}$ are climatological means of monthly values, and H represents nonlinear terms (which can be neglected for glaciers considered in this study; Oerlemans and Reichert, 2000).

The coefficients

$$c_{T,k} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial T_k} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{P,k} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial (P_k / P_{ref,k})}$$

have been determined by the mass balance model and represent the 24 values of the SSC. They quantify the change in mass balance resulting from a change in monthly temperature (unit of $c_{T,k}$ is mwe/K) and from a relative change in monthly precipitation (unit of $c_{P,k}$ is mwe) respectively.

The differences between using SSCs to reconstruct a mass balance series and using the full mass balance model itself have been tested and are found to be small (Oerlemans and Reichert, 2000). They are therefore not relevant for the purpose of the present study.

c. Sensitivity of Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher

The specific SSCs for Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher with respect to temperature and precipitation are shown in Figure 3.

Nigardsbreen (Figure 3a) can be identified having maritime character. The melt season especially on the lower parts of the glacier is long, the sensitivity $c_{T,k}$ to changes in temperature remains high from May to October (-0.08 to -0.11 mwe/K). Temperature anomalies during these months therefore lead to a strong response in mass balance. On the other hand, a temperature change in winter (December, January, February (DJF)) has almost no effect since melting hardly occurs. The sensitivity of mass balance to relative changes in precipitation is very low in summer (June, July, August (JJA)) compared to the winter values. The reason is that summer precipitation falls as rain over most parts of the glacier. An increase in precipitation has therefore only a marginal effect compared to the enhancement of mass balance in winter when precipitation mainly falls as snow and can be added to the surface.

The accumulation area of Rhonegletscher (Figure 3b) is located at higher altitudes (2140 m to 3620 m) compared to Nigardsbreen (295 m to 1950 m). As a consequence, also summer precipitation (with a large fraction falling as snow at these altitudes) has a considerable effect on the annual mass balance, the sensitivity is in fact only slightly lower than in winter. With respect to changes in monthly temperature, Rhonegletscher is less sensitive (-0.07 mwe/K in summer) than Nigardsbreen (-0.11 mwe/K in summer).

4. Validation of Glacier Mass Balance Using ECMWF Reanalyses

An opportunity to validate the entire process-based modeling approach applied in this study, including the statistical downscaling strategy and the simulation of mass balance using SSCs, is the simulation of glacier mass balance using ECMWF Reanalyses. Simulated mass balance records can be compared to actual in situ measurements of mass balance for the period 1979-1993.

For Nigardsbreen glacier (Figure 4a), reliable measurements have been carried out by the Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Administration (Norges Vassdrags- og Energiverk, NVE) (International Association of Scientific Hydrology (IASH), 1998, 1999; Østrem et al., 1988). These in situ measurements (Figure 4a, thick dotted line) are in good agreement with the simulated mass balance using our process-based approach applied to ECMWF reanalyses. Glacier mass balance using data of 8 operational weather stations in the vicinity of the glacier (stations Laerdal-Tonjum, Vangsnes, Forde-Vie, Fortun, Finse III, Modalen II, Olden-Vangberg, and Brata; see Reichert et al. (1999) for locations) has been simulated. The gray shaded area in Figure 4a represents the variability of mass balance due to the choice of the station (mean over all stations \pm two standard deviations σ). In spite of some years, for which the model produces a significantly lower than observed mass balance (mainly 1987 and 1991), the overall correlation between simulations and measurements is remarkably high. Within the relatively short time period investigated, the correlation coefficient for the mean over all stations is $r = 0.78$. The heavy solid line denotes the mass balance simulation for station Vangsnes which will be used for the GCM experiments described in section 5 and 6.

For Rhonegletscher (Figure 4b), exact measurements of mass balance are not available. However, for the recent variability of mass balance of this glacier, a standard deviation of about 0.6 m water equivalent (mwe) can be inferred according to numerous mass balance measurements in the central Alps (IASH, 1998). The standard deviation of the simulated mass balance using station Guetsch (solid line) is 0.62 mwe. Due to the relatively short time period of the ECMWF reanalyses this agreement is not representative, it shows however that the variability of simulations for this glacier is generally comparable to measurements. Station Guetsch will be used for the GCM experiments in section 5 and 6. The approach has again been applied to 8 stations (stations Guetsch, Piotta, Disentis, Interlaken, San Bernadino, Chur-Ems, and Vaduz; see Figure 1 for locations) in order to get an insight into the spatial homogeneity of mass balance (Figure 4b, gray shaded area). The standard deviation exclusively due to spatial inhomogeneity between all 8 stations, averaged over the entire time period is 0.18 mwe. For Nigardsbreen, we find 0.26 mwe for this quantity. Both values clearly demonstrate the considerable impact on glacier mass balance when using different stations in the vicinity of the glaciers.

The above experiments have enabled the validation of the process-oriented modeling approach applied in this study against observations. This is an important basis for the application to GCM experiments discussed in the following.

5. Simulation of Glacier Mass Balance Using ECHAM4 GCM Integrations

The GCM integrations used in this study have been performed using a current state-of-the-art climate model, the fourth generation of the European Center/Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM4) developed at the Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie (MPI) and the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) in Hamburg (Roeckner et al., 1996). The origin of the model is the spectral weather prediction model of the ECMWF (Simmons et al., 1989). The version used in the present study has 19 levels in the vertical extending up to 10 hPa. In order to characterize the role of the deep ocean for the simulation of low frequency climatic variations, a coupled GCM (ECHAM4/OPYC) for comparison with a mixed-layer GCM (ECHAM4/MLO) without an internal ocean is used.

a. Coupled GCM Experiment (ECHAM4/OPYC)

In this model version (Roeckner et al., 1999), ECHAM4 is coupled to the full ocean general circulation model OPYC (Oberhuber, 1993; see Bacher et al., 1998 for coupling strategy) consisting of three sub-models for the interior ocean, for the surface mixed-layer, and for sea ice (dynamic-thermodynamic sea ice model including viscous plastic rheology).

The experiment used is a control integration for present day climatic conditions performed at T42 horizontal resolution (corresponding to a latitude-longitude grid of about $2.8^\circ \times 2.8^\circ$). The concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide are fixed at the observed 1990 values (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

(IPCC), 1990, Table 2.5). After a 100-year spinup, the model has been integrated with constant flux adjustment (Roeckner et al., 1999) for 300 years.

b. Mixed-Layer GCM Experiment (ECHAM4/MLO)

For this model version (Roeckner, 1997), ECHAM4 is coupled to a mixed-layer ocean model (MLO) including a thermodynamic sea ice model. The mixed layer has a fixed depth of 50 m and is horizontally insulated, an interior ocean is missing. Monthly means of heat are flux corrected using the observed climatological cycle of surface temperatures for the period 1979-1988.

For the multi-century integration used in the present study, the spectral resolution of the atmospheric model has been reduced to T30 (corresponding to a latitude-longitude grid of about $3.75^\circ \times 3.75^\circ$). However, the climatology and many aspects of its variability have been shown to be close to the T42 version of the model (Stendel and Roeckner, 1998) whereas computational costs are significantly reduced. In this study, 590 years of a control integration for present day climatic conditions (defined as for the coupled GCM; section 5.a) are used.

c. Mass Balance Simulations

Mass Balance simulations using the above GCM experiments have been performed for Nigardsbreen (Figure 5a) and Rhonegletscher (Figure 5b). Annual values of glacier mass balance (thin lines) and 10 year lowpass filtered values (heavy solid lines) are shown.

Pronounced mass balance fluctuations on time scales of years to decades have been simulated in the experiments. The variability in mass balance is exclusively due to internal variations in the climate system since GCM integrations excluding external forcings such as solar irradiation changes, volcanic or anthropogenic effects are used. In order to compare the variability of the simulated time series, the standard deviations σ for both the unfiltered and the 10 year lowpass filtered mass balance time series have been computed.

For both glaciers, the interannual variability for the mixed-layer GCM experiment (MLO) is higher (significant at 95% confidence level) in comparison with the coupled run (OPYC). For Nigardsbreen, we find $\sigma_{\text{Nig,MLO}} = 0.69$ mwe (MLO) compared to $\sigma_{\text{Nig,OPYC}} = 0.61$ mwe (OPYC). For Rhonegletscher, we have $\sigma_{\text{Rho,MLO}} = 0.91$ mwe compared to $\sigma_{\text{Rho,OPYC}} = 0.79$ mwe. A further investigation shows that the main reason is the different variability in simulated precipitation in the two model versions (see section 6.b for the impact of precipitation on mass balance). Although the total amount of simulated annual precipitation averaged over the full time period of the experiments is comparable in both models, the standard deviation of precipitation for Nigardsbreen (Rhonegletscher) is by 14% (12%) higher in the mixed-layer GCM relative to the coupled GCM. We assume that this model-specific feature is at least partly related to a different (and in comparison with observations more unrealistic) representation of the pattern of precipitation associated with the NAO in the mixed-layer model.

The standard variations of the 10 year lowpass filtered time series are not significantly different in the two model versions. They read as follows:

$$\sigma_{\text{Nig,MLO}} = 0.30 \text{ mwe}, \quad \sigma_{\text{Nig,OPYC}} = 0.28 \text{ mwe}, \quad \sigma_{\text{Rho,MLO}} = 0.31 \text{ mwe}, \quad \text{and}$$

$\sigma_{\text{Rho,OPYC}} = 0.32$ mwe. This means that decadal scale mass balance variability is actually comparable for the different GCM experiments. However, this is inconsistent with the fact that we would expect a lower variability on time scales of decades in the mixed-layer model due to the lack of an internal ocean. A spectral analysis of simulated averaged northern Hemisphere temperature variations for both models suggests that this should at least be valid for periods longer than 40 years (Reichert, 2000; Bengtsson and Reichert, 2000). Nevertheless, this cannot be seen in the simulation for the specific midlatitude regions of Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher which are strongly influenced by the NAO. One reason is the above mentioned model-specific higher interannual mass balance variability (due to increased variability in precipitation) simulated by the mixed-layer GCM in comparison with the coupled model, which in turn also induces a higher decadal scale variability. If the interannual variability was comparable in both models, a relatively lower decadal mass balance variability in the mixed-layer model would almost certainly be obtained, as could be expected.

For Nigardsbreen, the full record of observations for the period 1962-1998 (Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Administration, see section 4) is shown for comparison (Figure 5a). The variability of the observed annual mass balance is slightly higher than in the GCM control experiments. This will have three main reasons: a) although the mass balance model explains a remarkable large part of observed glacier mass balance variations (as the experiments in section 4 have shown), some fraction of statistical variance is naturally missing in the simulation, b) the large-scale variability in the GCM experiments serving as basis for statistical downscaling is slightly lower than in ECMWF reanalyses, and c) the continuous

increase of greenhouse gas concentrations not considered in the model runs (equilibrium state with constant greenhouse gas concentrations) affects climatic variability (this will be investigated in another study using transient coupled GCM experiments).

However, periods of generally strong positive mass balances, comparable to observed mass balances for Nigardsbreen during the 1980s and the first half of the 1990s (Figure 5a, observed 1962-1998 record; see Figure 13 for larger illustration), do appear in the GCM simulations exclusively due to internal variations in the climate system. A comparable positive mass balance “event” has, for example, been simulated by the coupled ECHAM4/OPYC GCM at around year 50, denoted as year 340 in Figure 5a (particularly evident for the 10 year lowpass filtered time series). It should also be mentioned that in longer coupled GCM integrations, the sampling problem would be reduced and a larger number of simulated “events” of this type could be expected. In the following, it will be demonstrated that such “events” can be related to the NAO (section 6 and 7). A link to the NAO has been proposed in earlier studies (Hurrell, 1995; Nesje, 2000) but can now be investigated in detail, including the responsible mechanisms using multi-century GCM experiments.

6. The Role of the NAO for Glacier Mass Balance

In this section, physical mechanisms in the climate system responsible for mass balance variations of the above simulated glaciers are examined. This leads to an investigation of possible relationships with the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) as a

major source of atmospheric variability. The individual impact of temperature and precipitation on mass balance variations is examined, considering individual seasons which may have a distinct impact according to the glacier specific Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristics (SSCs) discussed in section 3.

a. NAO Index and Glacier Mass Balance

The North Atlantic Oscillation (Walker and Bliss, 1932; van Loon and Rogers, 1978; Kushnir and Wallace, 1989; Kushnir, 1994; Hurrell, 1995; Visbeck et al., 1998) can be associated with variations in the strength of the westerlies over the North Atlantic onto Europe. A positive (negative) phase of the NAO reflects above-normal (below-normal) pressure over the central North Atlantic south of 55°N and below-normal (above-normal) pressure across the high latitudes of the North Atlantic (Figure 6). One center is located near the semipermanent Icelandic low and the other center of opposite sign near the Azores high. The oscillation is present throughout the year in monthly mean data but is most pronounced during winter (Barnston and Livezey, 1987).

Several hypothesis have been developed to explain the observed variability in the NAO. The null hypothesis is that the NAO is simply a natural internal mode in the climate system, the ocean turns high frequency stochastic forcing of the atmosphere into low-frequency variability (Hasselmann, 1976) which explains the observed redness of the spectrum. Spectral peaks may be due to damped eigenmodes associated with the coupling between ocean and atmosphere (Latif and Barnett, 1994). The memory of the coupled system may be found in the gyral circulation of

the ocean (Grötzner et al., 1998) and the thermohaline circulation (Timmermann et al., 1998).

The NAO has a dominant influence on wintertime temperatures of the Northern Hemisphere (Figure 7). A strong positive phase of the NAO is related to a strong westerly flow over the North Atlantic (Rogers, 1985) and can be associated with above-normal temperatures extending from northern Europe across much of Eurasia and below-normal temperatures over the northwest Atlantic (Hurrell, 1995; Hurrell and van Loon, 1997).

A considerable impact of the NAO on regional winter precipitation has also been observed (Figure 8). High NAO index winters can be related to above-normal precipitation over an area spanning from Iceland to Scandinavia (particularly important for Nigardsbreen glacier investigated in this study) and below-normal precipitation over much of central and southern Europe (important for Rhonegletscher in this study), the northern Mediterranean countries, and west North Africa (van Loon and Rogers, 1978; Hurrell, 1995).

Opposite patterns of temperature and precipitation anomalies are typically observed during pronounced negative phases of the NAO.

The NAO indices of the GCM integrations used in this study are constructed from the differences of normalized pressures in winter (November through March) between area averages located west of Portugal ($11^{\circ}\text{W} - 14^{\circ}\text{W}$, $40^{\circ}\text{N} - 43^{\circ}\text{N}$) and over Iceland ($17^{\circ}\text{W} - 20^{\circ}\text{W}$, $65^{\circ}\text{N} - 68^{\circ}\text{N}$) following the definition of Hurrell (1995) (see Christoph et al., 1999; Wallace and Gutzler, 1981). A positive index value is associated with stronger-than-normal westerlies across the North Atlantic and northwestern Europe, a negative value indicates weaker-than-normal westerlies.

Figure 9 shows the unfiltered (solid thin lines) and 10 year lowpass filtered time series (solid heavy lines) of the NAO index for 300 years of the coupled (ECHAM4/OPYC) GCM integration. Glacier mass balance for Nigardsbreen (Figure 9a, dotted line) and Rhonegletscher (Figure 9b, dotted line) as simulated by the experiment (see section 5 for description) along with the 10 year lowpass filtered versions (heavy shaded lines) are shown for comparison. For both glaciers, a well pronounced correlation between the lowpass filtered NAO index of the model and mass balance is found. The correlation coefficient for Nigardsbreen is $r = 0.55$. For Rhonegletscher, an anti-correlation of $r = -0.64$ is found (both significant at 99% confidence level). This demonstrates the strong link between decadal variations in the North Atlantic Oscillation and decadal variability in glacier mass balance controlled by temperature and precipitation. In the following, the individual role of temperature and precipitation within this relation will be further investigated.

b. Individual Impact of Temperature and Precipitation on Mass Balance

The individual impact of temperature and precipitation on mass balance of each glacier is illustrated in Figure 10. 10 year lowpass filtered mass balance for Nigardsbreen (Figure 10a) and Rhonegletscher (Figure 10b) as simulated by the coupled GCM using exclusively either precipitation (B_P , dashed shaded lines) or temperature (B_T , dashed solid lines) have been calculated. For both glaciers, the mass balance variability due to precipitation (standard deviation σ_{Bp}) is by far higher than the variability due to temperature (σ_{Bt}). For Nigardsbreen, we find $\sigma_{Bp} = 0.27$ mwe and $\sigma_{Bt} = 0.17$ mwe, for Rhonegletscher we have $\sigma_{Bp} = 0.32$ mwe and

$\sigma_{B_t} = 0.10$ mwe. This means that the individual impact of precipitation on Nigardsbreen glacier mass balance is about 1.6 times higher than the impact of temperature. For Rhonegletscher it is about 3 times higher.

It can furthermore be inferred that for both glaciers, changes in mass balance due to precipitation are very closely linked to the NAO (Figure 10, solid lines). The correlation coefficient between B_P and the NAO index is $r = 0.75$ (Nigardsbreen) and $r = -0.65$ (Rhonegletscher). On the other hand, mass balance variations due to temperature are not significantly correlated with the NAO (95% confidence level), we find $r = -0.20$ (Nigardsbreen) and $r = -0.17$ (Rhonegletscher). This suggests that for both glaciers, precipitation is by far the dominant factor for the close relationship between glacier mass balance variations and the NAO.

For the mixed-layer GCM experiment (not shown), the standard deviations σ_{B_t} of mass balance due to temperature are comparable to the coupled GCM. The standard deviations σ_{B_p} due to precipitation are slightly higher (e.g. for Rhonegletscher 0.36 mwe relative to 0.32 mwe) due to the model-specific increased variability in simulated precipitation. As mentioned in section 5.c, this is connected to the different representation of the pattern of precipitation associated with the NAO in the mixed-layer model.

c. Seasonal Impact on Mass Balance

The actual impact of temperature and precipitation on glacier mass balance for individual months of the year as simulated by the downscaled coupled GCM may now be investigated. For each glacier site, the standard deviations of the simulated

temperature and precipitation variations for a specific month over 300 years of the control experiment are calculated. We define the *impact* as the standard deviations multiplied with the corresponding monthly sensitivity values of the glaciers obtained from the Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristics (SSCs) described in section 3.c. This means that we account for both the sensitivity of the glaciers as well as the actually simulated local variability of the forcing variables. The resulting mass balance changes presented in Figure 11 can be associated with a one standard deviation change of the forcing variables for individual months.

While the SSCs as illustrated in Figure 3 may at first glance have suggested a rather low overall *sensitivity* of both glaciers to precipitation changes, we find that the actual *impact* (sensitivity \times GCM-simulated standard variation) of precipitation changes on mass balance is in fact relatively high in comparison with temperature (Figure 11a, 11b). The reason is of course the relatively high variability in local precipitation as simulated by the downscaled GCM compared to the variability in temperature. This is in agreement with the statement in section 6.b (Figure 10) where we found that the impact of precipitation on the annual mass balance is much stronger (1.6 times for Nigardsbreen, 3 times for Rhonegletscher) than the impact of temperature.

For both glaciers, the simulated variability of temperature in the 300 year GCM integration is generally highest in winter (DJF) which can partly be related to the influence of the NAO as will be discussed below. However, because of the very small temperature sensitivity in winter (< 0.01 mwe/K, Figure 3), the overall *impact* of winter temperature changes is extremely small, in fact negligible (Figure 11). On the other hand, we find that relatively small simulated temperature variations in

summer (JJA) have a considerable impact on mass balance since the sensitivity of the glaciers is naturally high during this season (melting occurs, Figure 3).

With respect to precipitation, we find a very strong impact on mass balance of the glaciers in winter (Figure 11). This is naturally a consequence of the glacier's high sensitivity (Figure 3) and the high variability in precipitation for this season (related to the NAO, see below). For Nigardsbreen, the sensitivity in summer (summer precipitation falls as rain over most parts of the glacier, section 3.c) and the variability in precipitation are small, the resulting impact is therefore low. For the higher elevated Rhonegletscher, even smaller summer temperature changes have a noticeable impact since the sensitivity to precipitation is nearly constant throughout the year (Figure 3b).

d. Seasonal Impact of the NAO

The seasonal impact on mass balance is now further investigated by examining extreme events of positive and negative NAO phases in the coupled GCM experiment along with their impact on temperature and precipitation. Figure 12 shows the situation during 10 years of a high phase of the NAO index (mean over model years 48-57, see Figure 9) minus 10 years of the following low phase of the NAO index (mean over model years 69-78). The corresponding anomaly patterns of sea level pressure, surface air temperature, and precipitation are presented for the winter (DJF; Figures 12a-12c) and the summer season (JJA; Figures 12d-12f).

The sea level pressure pattern in winter (Figure 12a) is the typical NAO pattern and is realistically represented by the coupled GCM in comparison with observations

(see Figure 6). As mentioned earlier (section 6.a) the NAO is not well pronounced during summer which can also clearly be seen in the GCM experiment (Figure 12d).

1) Seasonal impact of precipitation

Positive anomalies in winter precipitation associated with the NAO (Figure 12c) can be found from Iceland to Scandinavia with a maximum anomaly of about 40 mm/month near the Norwegian coast. The pattern is in good agreement with observations (Figure 8). Negative anomalies occur over western and southern Europe with the largest reductions in precipitation (more than 60 mm/month) near the northwestern coast of the Iberian peninsula. The Alps experience a reduction of about 20 mm in monthly precipitation.

Nigardsbreen glacier (61°43'N, 7°08'E) is located near the maximum anomaly (40 mm/month) in winter precipitation associated with the NAO. As has been shown above, the glacier is most sensitive to winter precipitation anomalies (Figure 11a). This demonstrates that the strong impact of precipitation on mass balance (as shown in Figure 10a and 11a) can to a great extent be explained by the strong influence of the NAO. During summer, both the precipitation anomaly due to the NAO (Figure 12f) as well as the sensitivity of the glacier are low, the effect on mass balance is consequently very small.

For the region of Rhonegletscher (46°37'N, 8°24'E), we find a reduction in winter precipitation of about 20 mm/month (Figure 12c) exerting a strong impact on the annual mass balance of the glacier (as shown in Figure 10b and 11b). Although the precipitation sensitivity of Rhonegletscher is roughly constant throughout the year,

the summer precipitation anomaly associated with the NAO (Figure 12f) is low and its impact is therefore rather restricted.

2) Seasonal impact of temperature

Positive anomalies of more than 1°C in surface air winter temperature associated with the NAO (Figure 12b) occur over Scandinavia and other parts of northern Europe extending eastwards across much of Eurasia. Negative anomalies can be found over Greenland and parts of the northwest Atlantic.

For the region of Nigardsbreen, we find a considerable winter temperature anomaly of about 2°C associated with the NAO. However, the sensitivity to winter temperature changes is low (Figure 3a), the impact on the annual glacier mass balance is therefore in fact negligible (Figure 11a). This is also true for Rhonegletscher (Figure 11b) which experiences only a small winter temperature anomaly associated with the NAO (Figure 12b). In summer a rather strong impact of temperatures could be expected due to the relatively high sensitivity of the glaciers during this season. However, the simulated temperature anomalies associated with the NAO are low for both glaciers (Figure 12e), their overall impact on the annual mass balance therefore still remains smaller compared to the impact of precipitation.

In summary, it has been shown that for both glaciers, 1) the overall impact of precipitation changes on annual mass balance variations is by far higher than the impact of temperature, and 2) mass balance changes of the glaciers are to a large extent caused by variations in winter precipitation associated with the NAO. A high phase of the NAO means clearly enhanced winter precipitation for the region of

Nigardsbreen, typically leading to a higher than normal positive mass balance over the year. For Rhonegletscher, a high NAO phase typically means reduced winter precipitation leading to a lower than normal mass balance. The opposite is valid for a low phase of the NAO.

7. Recently Observed Positive Mass Balances of Norwegian Glaciers

The net mass balance averaged over available observational data from 32 worldwide glaciers in 10 mountain ranges shows a considerable worldwide glacier mass loss on the order of a few decimeters per year for the period 1980-1997 (IASH, 1999; Haeberli et al., 1999). In this global context, strong positive mass balances as observed for Nigardsbreen and other maritime glaciers in southwestern Norway over the same time period may appear rather exceptional. With respect to the results obtained above, it is now interesting to discuss these positive mass balances in more detail.

It has already been mentioned (section 5.c) that periods of generally strong positive mass balances, comparable to the observed mass balances for Nigardsbreen within the period 1980-1995 (Figure 13, thin dashed line), appear in the GCM simulations exclusively due to internal climate variations (Figure 5a). It has been shown that a comparable positive mass balance “event” has, for example, been simulated by the coupled ECHAM4/OPYC GCM at around year 50 (denoted as year 340 in Figure 5a). With respect to the NAO index as presented in Figure 9 it has now become evident that this simulated “event” of positive mass balances can clearly be

associated with a strong positive phase of the NAO in the model (Figure 9a, heavy solid line, around year 50).

This is in agreement with observational data illustrated in Figure 13. The observed annual mass balances for Nigardsbreen within the period 1962-1998 (dashed lines) along with the NAO index for the period 1950-1999 (thin solid line) are shown. It is immediately evident that mass balance and NAO index are remarkably well correlated, the correlation coefficient for annual data is $r = 0.58$ (95% significance level at $r \geq 0.29$) within the period 1962-1998. For the period 1966-1998, the correlation coefficient even increases to $r = 0.71$ (95% significance level for $r \geq 0.30$). The 10 year lowpass filtered time series (thick lines) indicate that also the decadal trends are comparable for the last three decades.

The investigation of the individual seasonal impact of temperature and precipitation in the GCM experiments (section 6.b-d) showed that this link between mass balance and the NAO is mainly established through winter precipitation variations associated with the NAO exerting a strong impact on the annual mass balance of the glacier.

The GCM experiments and investigation of the forcing factors for glacier mass balance in this study therefore provide evidence for the assumption that generally positive mass balance tendencies as recently observed for Nigardsbreen can be related to enhanced precipitation in winter associated with a positive phase of the NAO. The observed strong positive glacier mass balances within 1980-1995 can thus be seen as a consequence of the corresponding observed persistent positive phase of the NAO within this time period. This mechanism is also likely to be valid for other

maritime Norwegian glaciers which have also shown strong positive mass balances within this period (IASH, 1999).

8. Summary and Conclusions

A process-based modeling approach has been applied in order to simulate glacier mass balance records for Nigardsbreen (Norway) and Rhonegletscher (Switzerland) using downscaled GCM experiments.

Statistical downscaling of GCM output is based on the large-scale flow obtained from daily ECMWF reanalyses and local station data in the vicinity of the glaciers. On average, 79% of variance for observed monthly temperatures in the region of Nigardsbreen, and 80% of variance in the region of Rhonegletscher are explained using reanalyses. For local precipitation, the explained variances are 65% and 51% respectively. The composition of large-scale predictors and their individual impact (especially on precipitation) vary considerably for individual stations within the areas of investigation.

The sensitivity of glacier mass balance to local temperature and precipitation is represented by glacier specific Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristics (SSCs) calculated from a mass balance model of intermediate complexity. The sensitivity of Rhonegletscher to changes in precipitation is almost constant throughout the year whereas for Nigardsbreen, a change in summer precipitation (mainly falling as rain due to the lower elevation) has only a marginal effect. The sensitivity to changes in summer temperatures (when melting occurs) is higher for Nigardsbreen relative to

Rhonegletscher. A temperature change in winter has almost no effect on mass balance for both glaciers.

The process-based mass balance modeling approach and the statistical downscaling strategy is validated against observations by simulating glacier mass balance using ECMWF reanalyses. In situ measurements of mass balance for Nigardsbreen are found to be in good agreement with simulations for the period 1979-1993. A considerable impact on mass balance is found when using different stations in the vicinity of the glaciers for downscaling.

Mass balance fluctuations for Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher are simulated using multi-century coupled (ECHAM4/OPYC) and mixed-layer (ECHAM4/MLO) GCM integrations excluding external forcings. The experiments show that periods of generally strong positive mass balances, comparable to observed mass balances for Nigardsbreen during the 1980s and the first half of the 1990s do appear in the GCM integrations, suggesting that such periods may be explained by internal variations in the climate system. For Nigardsbreen (Rhonegletscher), a high correlation (anti-correlation) between decadal variations in the North Atlantic Oscillation and glacier mass balance in the model experiments is found. The correlation coefficients for the relation between the NAO index and mass balance are $r = 0.55$ and $r = -0.64$ for Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher respectively.

The actual impact of temperature (precipitation) as simulated by the downscaled GCM experiments on mass balance variations of the glaciers is defined as the product of glacier sensitivity and one standard deviation of simulated temperature (precipitation) variability for individual months. In the coupled GCM experiment, the overall impact of precipitation changes on glacier mass balance variations is

1.6 times and 3 times higher for Nigardsbreen and Rhonegletscher, respectively, compared to the impact of temperature. Furthermore, precipitation is the dominant factor for the link between mass balance and the NAO. Mass balance changes of the glaciers due to precipitation are to a great extent caused by variations in winter precipitation associated with the NAO. A high phase of the NAO means clearly enhanced winter precipitation for the region of Nigardsbreen, typically leading to a higher than normal positive mass balance over the year. For Rhonegletscher, a high NAO phase typically means reduced winter precipitation leading to a lower than normal mass balance.

This mechanism, established using multi-century coupled GCM experiments, can explain the observed strong positive mass balances for Nigardsbreen and possibly other maritime Norwegian glaciers within the period 1980-1995. It has been shown that observed annual glacier mass balances and the observed NAO index are remarkably well correlated within the period 1962-1998. The experiments in this study provide evidence for the assumption that the generally positive mass balance tendencies recently observed for Nigardsbreen can be related to enhanced precipitation in winter associated with a positive phase of the NAO. The observed strong positive glacier mass balances within 1980-1995 can thus be seen as a consequence of the corresponding observed persistent positive NAO phase.

Glacier mass balances of Alpine glaciers have been strongly negative during the decade 1980-1990. The average mass balance value has been -0.65 mwe (Haeberli et al., 1999) resulting in an estimated loss of 10 to 20% of ice volume since 1980 (Haeberli and Beniston, 1998). The results of the present study indicate that this loss of mass balance can (at least as has been demonstrated for Rhonegletscher) partly be

explained by the strong positive phase of the NAO within this time period. However, it is of course necessary to account for the general positive temperature trend observed in this region, extremely warm temperatures have affected the Alpine region considerably within 1980-1990 (Beniston et al., 1994). Mass balance changes due to precipitation associated with the NAO are in this region therefore superimposed by changes due to the anomalous warm temperatures which occurred in this period.

The mass balance records simulated by the method described in this study will serve as basis for the simulation of glacier length records using a dynamic ice flow model. This will enable a comparison between simulated glacier length fluctuations and long observed or reconstructed records of glacier length in order to further investigate natural climate variability as indicated by glaciers.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank E. Roeckner, H. Graßl, D. Dommenges, J. Jones, and A. Tompkins for their valuable scientific support. We thank N. Noreiks for help on graphic representation. Glacier mass balance data were obtained from the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS), the ECMWF provided meteorological reanalyses, and the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) contributed operational weather station data. The study was supported by the European Commission under contract ENV4-CT95-0072. Model simulations were performed at the German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ) in Hamburg.

REFERENCES

- Bacher, A., J. M. Oberhuber, and E. Roeckner, 1998: ENSO dynamics and seasonal cycle in the tropical pacific as simulated by the ECHAM4/OPYC3 coupled general circulation model. *Clim. Dyn.*, **14**, 431-450.
- Barnston, A. G., and R. E. Livezey, 1987: Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency circulation patterns. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **115**, 1083-1126.
- Bengtsson, L., and B. K. Reichert, 2000: Global climate change and natural climate variability - What are their reasons? (in German). *Energie und Umwelt - Wo liegen optimale Lösungen?* S. Wittig and J. Wolfrum, Eds., Union der deutschen Akademien der Wissenschaften und Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, Springer Verlag.
- Christoph, M., U. Ulbrich, J. M. Oberhuber, E. Roeckner, 1999: The role of ocean dynamics for low-frequency fluctuations of the NAO in a coupled ocean-atmosphere GCM. Max-Planck-Inst. für Meteorol., Rep. 285, 27pp. [Available from MPI für Meteorologie, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.]
- Cubasch, U., H. von Storch, J. Waszkewitz, and E. Zorita, 1996: Estimates of climate change in southern Europe derived from dynamical climate model output. *Clim. Res.*, **7**, 129-149.
- Gibson, J. K., P. Kållberg, S. Uppala, A. Nomura, A. Hernandez, and E. Serrano, 1997: ERA Description. ECMWF Re-Anal. Proj. Rep. Ser., Rep. 1, 71pp. [Available from ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading, Berkshire RG2 9AX, United Kingdom.]
- Gregory, J. M., and J. Oerlemans, 1998: Simulated future sea-level rise due to glacier melt based on regionally and seasonally resolved temperature changes. *Nature*, **391**, 474-476.
- Grötzner, A., M. Latif, and T. P. Barnett, 1998: A decadal climate cycle in the North Atlantic ocean as simulated by the ECHO coupled GCM. *J. Climate*, **11**, 831-847.
- Haeberli, W., 1995: Glacier fluctuations and climate change detection. *Geogr. Fis. Dinam. Quat.*, **18**, 191-199.
- Haeberli, W., and M. Beniston, 1998: Climate change and its impacts on glaciers and permafrost in the Alps. *Ambio*, **27**, 258-265.
- Haeberli, W., R. Frauenfelder, M. Hoelzle, and M. Maisch, 1999: On rates and acceleration trends of global glacier mass changes. *Geografiska Annaler*, **81A**, 585-591.

- Hasselmann, K., 1976: Stochastic Climate Models I: Theory. *Tellus*, **28**, 473-485.
- Hoelzle, M., and W. Haeberli, 1999: World glacier monitoring service, world glacier inventory. Digital data available from the *National Snow and Ice Data Center*, nsidc@kryos.colorado.edu, Univ. of Colorado, Boulder.
- Hurrell, J. W., 1995: Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: regional temperatures and precipitation. *Science*, **269**, 676-679.
- Hurrell, J. W., 1996: Influence of variations in extratropical wintertime teleconnections on Northern Hemisphere temperature. *Geoph. Res. Lett.*, **23**, 665-668.
- Hurrell, J. W., and H. van Loon, 1997: Decadal variations in climate associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Clim. Change*, **36**, 301-326.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 1990: *Climate Change, The IPCC Scientific Assessment*. J. T. Houghton and Coauthors, Eds., Cambridge Univ. Press.
- International Association of Scientific Hydrology (IASH), 1967, 1973, 1977, 1985, 1988, 1993, 1998: *Fluctuations of Glaciers, International Commission of Snow and Ice (ICSI), Volumes I-VII*. UNESCO, Paris.
- International Association of Scientific Hydrology (IASH)/UNEP/UNESCO, 1999: *Glacier Mass Balance Bulletin No. 5*. W. Haeberli and Coauthors, Eds., World Glacier Monitoring Service, University and ETH Zurich.
- Jones, P. D., T. Jonsson and D. Wheeler, 1997: Extension to the North Atlantic Oscillation using early instrumental pressure observations from Gibraltar and South-West Iceland. *Int. J. Climatol.*, **17**, 1433-1450.
- Kushnir, Y., 1994: Interdecadal variations in the North Atlantic sea surface temperature and associated atmospheric conditions. *J. Climate*, **7**, 141-157.
- Kushnir, Y., and J. M. Wallace, 1989: Low Frequency variability in the northern hemisphere winter: geographical distribution, structure and time-scale dependence. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **46**, 3122-3141.
- Latif, M., and T. P. Barnett, 1994: Causes of decadal climate variability over the North Pacific and North America. *Science*, **266**, 634-637.
- Nesje, A., Øyvind, L., and S. O. Dahl, 2000: Is the North Atlantic Oscillation reflected in Scandinavian glacier mass balance records? *J. Quatern. Science*, **15**, in press.

- Oberhuber, J. M., 1993: Simulation of the Atlantic circulation with a coupled sea ice - mixed layer - isopycnal general circulation model. Part I: Model description. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **22**, 808-829.
- Oerlemans, J., 1989: A projection of future sea level. *Clim. Change*, **15**, 151-174.
- Oerlemans, J., 1992: Climate sensitivity of glaciers in southern Norway: application of an energy-balance model to Nigardsbreen, Helstugubreen and Alftobreen. *J. Glaciol.*, **38**, 223-232.
- Oerlemans, J., and J. P. F. Fortuin, 1992: Sensitivity of glaciers and small ice caps to greenhouse warming. *Science*, **258**, 115-117.
- Oerlemans, J., and B. K. Reichert, 2000: Relating glacier mass balance to meteorological data by using a seasonal sensitivity characteristic. *J. Glaciol.*, **46**, 1-6.
- Osborn, T. J., K. R. Briffa, S. F. B. Tett, P. D. Jones and R. M. Trigo, 1999: Evaluation of the North Atlantic Oscillation as simulated by a coupled climate model. *Clim. Dyn.*, **15**, 685-702.
- Østrem, G., K. D. Selvig, and K. Tandberg, 1988: *Atlas over breer i Sør-Norge*, Norges Vassdrags- og Energiverk, Hydrologisk Avdeling, Meddelelse, Norway.
- Paterson, W. S. B., 1994: *The Physics of Glaciers*, 3rd ed., Pergamon, New York.
- Reichert, B. K., L. Bengtsson, and O. Åkesson, 1999: A statistical modeling approach for the simulation of local paleoclimatic proxy records using general circulation model output. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 19071-19083.
- Reichert, B. K., 2000: Quantification of natural climate variability in paleoclimatic proxy data using general circulation models: application to glacier systems, Ph.D. thesis, Max-Planck-Inst. für Meteorol., Examensarbeit 72, 124 pp. [Available from MPI für Meteorologie, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.]
- Roeckner, E., and Coauthors, 1996: The atmospheric general circulation model ECHAM-4: Model description and simulation of present-day climate, Max-Planck-Inst. für Meteorol., Rep. 218, 90pp. [Available from MPI für Meteorologie, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.]
- Roeckner, E., 1997: Climate sensitivity experiments with the MPI/ECHAM4 model coupled to a slab ocean (abstract). *Euroclivar Workshop on Cloud Feedbacks and Climate Change*, C. A. Senior and J. F. B. Mitchell, Eds, 50pp. [Available from Hadley Cent. for Clim. Predict. and Res., Bracknell, England.]
- Roeckner, E., L. Bengtsson, J. Feichter, J. Lelieveld and H. Rodhe, 1999: Transient climate change simulations with a coupled atmosphere-ocean GCM including the tropospheric sulfur cycle. *J. Climate*, **12**, 3004-3032.

- Rogers, J. C., 1985: Atmospheric circulation changes with the warming over the Northern North Atlantic in the 1920s. *J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol.*, **24**, 1303-1310.
- Simmons, A. J., D. M. Burridge, M. Jarraud, C. Girard, and W. Wergen, 1989: The ECMWF medium-range prediction models: development of the numerical formulations and the impact of increased resolution. *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, **40**, 28-60.
- Stendel, M., and E. Roeckner, 1998: Impacts of horizontal resolution on simulated climate statistics in ECHAM4. Max-Planck-Inst. für Meteorol., Rep. 253. [Available from MPI für Meteorologie, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.]
- Timmermann, A., M. Latif, R. Voss, and A. Grötzner, 1998: North Atlantic interdecadal variability: a coupled air-sea mode. *J. Climate*, **11**, 1906-1931.
- van Loon, H., and J. C. Rogers, 1978: The seesaw in winter temperatures between Greenland and Northern Europe. Part I, General description. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **106**, 296-310.
- Visbeck, M., and Coauthors, 1998: Atlantic climate variability experiment prospectus. Proceedings, *Workshop Dallas, February 1998 and Workshop Florence, May 1998*, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia Univ., New York, 49pp.
- Walker, G. T., and E. W. Bliss, 1932: World weather V. *Mem. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **4**, 53-84.
- Wallace, J. M., and D. S. Gutzler, 1981: Teleconnections in the geopotential height field during the northern hemisphere winter. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **109**, 784-812.
- Wigley, T. M. L., and S. C. B. Raper, 1993: Future changes in global mean temperature and sea level. *Climate and sea level change: observations, projections and implications*, R. A. Warrick, E. M. Barrow, and T. M. L. Wigley, Eds., Cambridge University Press, 111-133.




FIG. 1. Location of Rhonegletscher (46°37'N, 8°24'E) and operational weather stations used for statistical downscaling. Local temperature and precipitation are simulated from GCM output on the basis of daily large-scale predictors obtained from ECMWF reanalyses and daily station data in the vicinity of the glacier.




FIG. 2. Monthly means of precipitation averaged over the period 1979-1993 for station Guetsch ($46^{\circ}39'N$, $8^{\circ}37'E$). Observed station data (dotted line), statistically downscaled results (solid line), and interpolated reanalyses without statistical modeling (shaded line) are shown. The simulated climatology is in good agreement with observations (correlation coefficient $r = 0.82$).




FIG. 3. Glacier specific Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristic (SSC) for (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher. The SSC represents the dependence of glacier mass balance on monthly anomalies in temperature (solid bars) and precipitation (shaded bars). For Nigardsbreen, a $1^{\circ}C$ temperature increase in summer (JJA) would, for example, roughly have the same effect (0.1 mwe in mass balance; mwe is m water equivalent) as a 20% decrease of precipitation in winter (DJF).




FIG. 4. Process-based glacier mass balance simulations for (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher using ECMWF reanalyses. Observational data of 8 weather stations in the vicinity of each glacier are used for statistical downscaling. The gray shaded area represents the variability due to the choice of the station (mean over all stations \pm two standard deviations σ). Heavy solid lines denote simulated mass balances using station Vangnes (a) and station Guetsch (b). The heavy dotted line shows in situ measurements of mass balance for Nigardsbreen (a).




FIG. 5. Simulation of glacier mass balance exclusively due to internal variations in the climate system using coupled (ECHAM4/OPYC) and mixed-layer (ECHAM4/MLO) GCM experiments. Thin lines represent annual values of mass balance, heavy solid lines denote the smoothed 10 year lowpass filtered versions for (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher. The observed mass balance for Nigardsbreen within the period 1962-1998 is shown for comparison (a).




FIG. 6. Observed difference in Sea Level Pressure for high NAO index minus low NAO index winters since 1899. The contour interval is 2 hPa, negative values are dashed. From (Hurrell, 1995).




FIG. 7. Observed surface temperature change (unit 0.1°C) associated with a one standard deviation change in the NAO index. Data from Hurrell and van Loon (1997) for the winters of 1935-1996 were used. Dark (light) shading indicate positive (negative) changes. From (Hurrell, 1996).




FIG. 8. Precipitation anomalies associated with the NAO. Evaporation minus precipitation is plotted for high NAO index minus normal or low NAO index winters, computed as a residual of the atmospheric moisture budget using ECMWF global analyses. From (Hurrell, 1995).

NAO Index and Mass Balance Nigardsbreen/Rhonegletscher




FIG. 9. NAO index (thin solid line) along with glacier mass balance of (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher (thin dotted line) as simulated by the coupled (ECHAM4/OPYC) GCM experiment. The figure illustrates the strong link between the North Atlantic Oscillation and glacier mass balance fluctuations, the correlation coefficients r for the 10 year lowpass filtered time series (heavy lines) are shown in the top left corner of each graph.

Impact of Temperature and Precipitation on Mass Balance




FIG. 10. Individual impact of temperature and precipitation on glacier mass balance for (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher as simulated by the coupled GCM (ECHAM4/OPYC). 10 year lowpass filtered mass balance B_P simulated using exclusively precipitation (shaded dashed lines), the mass balance B_T exclusively due to temperature (solid dashed lines), and the NAO index (solid lines) are presented. Correlation coefficients between the NAO index on one hand and B_P , B_T , and $B_P + B_T$ (resulting total mass balance as in Figure 9) respectively on the other hand are shown in the top right corner of each graph.




FIG. 11. Impact (defined as sensitivity \times GCM-simulated variability) on glacier mass balance associated with a one standard deviation change in temperature and precipitation for individual months of the year for (a) Nigardsbreen and (b) Rhonegletscher. The Seasonal Sensitivity Characteristic (Figure 3) and the standard deviation of temperature and precipitation for a specific month as simulated by the downscaled coupled GCM experiment are considered.

Simulated Sea Level Pressure, Temperature and Precipitation Anomalies Associated with the NAO (ECHAM4/OPYC)




FIG. 12. Anomalies of sea level pressure (a, d; contour interval 2 hPa), surface air temperature (b, e; contour interval 1°C), and precipitation (c, f; contour interval 10 mm/month) associated with 10 years of a high phase minus 10 years of a low phase of the NAO index as simulated by the coupled GCM. Dark (light) shading indicate positive (negative) anomalies. (a-c) show anomalies for the winter (DJF) season, (d-f) represent summer (JJA) anomalies.




FIG. 13. Observed NAO Index for the period 1950-1999 (data from Jones et al., 1997; Osborn et al., 1999; solid lines) and observed mass balance for Nigardsbreen 1962-1998 (data from IASH, 1998, 1999; dashed lines) along with 10 year lowpass filtered values (heavy lines). The correlation coefficient for annual data is $r = 0.58$.

Report 1-263 Please order the reference list from MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg

- Report No. 264**
July 1998
How representative are recent temperature trends?
Martin Stendel, John R. Christy, Lennart Bengtsson
* Journal of Climate, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 265**
July 1998
MPI-Workshop on conservative transport schemes
2-3 June 1997
Bennert Machenhauer
- Report No. 266**
July 1998
Transient climate change simulations with a coupled atmosphere-ocean GCM including the tropospheric sulfur cycle
E. Roeckner, L. Bengtsson, J. Feichter, J. Lelieveld, H. Rodhe
* Journal of Climate, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 267**
July 1998
On the Predictability of Decadal Changes in the North Pacific
Stephan Venzke, Matthias Münnich, Mojib Latif
* Climate Dynamics, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 268**
July 1998
A New Theory for Tropical Instability Waves
Matthias Münnich, Mojib Latif
* Science, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 269**
July 1998
Volcanic Plume Simulation on Large Scales
Josef M. Oberhuber, Michael Herzog, Hans-F. Graf, Karsten Schwanke
* Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 1998 (accepted)
- Report No. 270**
July 1998
The Effect of Phase Changes of Water on the Development of Volcanic Plumes
Michael Herzog, Hans-F. Graf, Christiane Textor, Josef M. Oberhuber
* Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 1998 (accepted)
- Report No. 271**
August 1998
Portrayal of the Indian summer monsoon in the land-ocean-atmosphere system of a coupled GCM
Lydia Dümenil
- Report No. 272**
August 1998
Detecting the Nonstationary Response of ENSO to Greenhouse Warming
Axel Timmmermann
* Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 273**
August 1998
Decadal Coupling of the Northern Mid-Latitude and Equatorial Pacific Ocean via the Thermocline ?
Niklas Schneider, Stephan Venzke, Arthur J. Miller, David W. Pierce, Timothy P. Barnett, Clara Deser, Mojib Latif
* Nature, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 274**
August 1998
A Statistical-Dynamical Modeling Approach for the Simulation of Local Paleo Proxy Records using GCM Output
Bernhard K. Reichert, Lennart Bengtsson, Ove Åkesson
* Journal of Geophysical Research, 104, 19071-19083, 1999
- Report No. 275**
October 1998
Validation and Analysis of Regional Present-day Climate and Climate Change Simulations over Europe
Bennert Machenhauer, Martin Windelband, Michael Botzet, Jens Hesselbjerg-Christensen, Michel Déqué, Richard G. Jones, Paolo Michele Ruti, Guido Visconti
- Report No. 276**
September 1998
The Role of Indian Ocean Sea Surface Temperature in Forcing East African Climate Anomalies
Mojib Latif, Dietmar Dommenges, Mihai Dima
* Journal of Climate, 1998 (submitted)

-
- Report No. 277**
September 1998
Interannual to Decadal Variability in the Tropical Atlantic
Dietmar Dommenges, Mojib Latif
* Journal of Climate, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 278**
October 1998
Application of a grid-scale lateral discharge model in the BALTEX region
Stefan Hagemann, Lydia Dümenil
* Nordic Hydrology, 30 (3), 209-230, 2000
- Report No. 279**
October 1998
Cyclostationary Circulation Estimation with a Global Ocean Assimilation System
Detlev Müller, Ralf Giering, Uwe Mikolajewicz, Ernst Maier-Reimer
* Journal of Geophysical Research, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 280**
October 1998
A coarse grid three dimensional global inverse model of the atmospheric transport
1. Adjoint Model and Jacobian Matrix
2. Inversion of the transport of CO₂ in the 1980s
Thomas Kaminski, Martin Heimann, Ralf Giering
* Journal of Geophysical Research, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 281**
November 1998
Paleonutrient Data Analysis of the Glacial Atlantic using an Adjoint Ocean General Circulation Model
Arne M. E. Winguth, David Archer, Ernst Maier-Reimer, Uwe Mikolajewicz
- Report No. 282**
November 1998
The Effect of Environmental Conditions on Volcanic Plume Rise
Hans-F. Graf, Michael Herzog, Josef M. Oberhuber, Christiane Textor
* Journal of Geophysical Research, 1998 (submitted)
- Report No. 283**
December 1998
Model Simulations of the Changing Distribution of Ozone and its Radiative Forcing of Climate: Past, Present and Future
Geert-Jan Roelofs, Jos Lelieveld, Johann Feichter
- Report No. 284**
December 1998
Predicting the Number of Cloud Droplets in the ECHAM GCM
Ulrike Lohmann, Johann Feichter, Catherine C. Chuang, Joyce E. Penner
* Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres, 1998 (accepted)
- Report No. 285**
December 1998
The Role of Ocean Dynamics for Low-Frequency Fluctuations of the NAO in a Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere GCM
Michael Christoph, Uwe Ulbrich, Josef M. Oberhuber, Erich Roeckner
- Report No. 286**
January 1999
Formation of nitrous acid: Parameterisation and comparison with observations
Gerhard Lammel
- Report No. 287**
Februar 1999
Natürliche Senken und Quellen des atmosphärischen Kohlendioxids: Stand des Wissens und Optionen des Handelns
Martin Heimann, Christine Weber, Jan C. Duinker, Arne Körtzinger, Ludger Mintrop, Nina Buchmann, Ernst-Detlef Schulze, Michaela Hein, Alberte Bondeau, Wolfgang Cramer, Marcus Lindner, Gerd Esser
- Report No. 288**
March 1999
Large-eddy simulation of a nocturnal stratocumulus-topped marine atmospheric boundary layer: An uncertainty analysis
Andreas Chlond, Andreas Wolkau
Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 1999 (submitted)
- Report No. 289**
March 1999
Derivation of global GCM boundary conditions from 1 km land use satellite data
Stefan Hagemann, Michael Botzet, Lydia Dümenil, Bennert Machenhauer
- Report No. 290**
June 1999
A nonlinear impulse response model of the coupled carbon cycle-ocean-atmosphere climate system
Georg Hooss, Reinhard Voss, Klaus Hasselmann, Ernst Maier-Reimer, Fortunat Joos

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| Report No. 291
June 1999 | Rapid algorithms for plane-parallel radiative transfer calculations
Vassili Prigarin |
| Report No. 292
June 1999 | Oceanic Control of Decadal North Atlantic Sea Level Pressure Variability in Winter
Mojib Latif, Klaus Arpe, Erich Roeckner
* Geophysical Research Letters, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 293
July 1999 | A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application to 5 wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters and climate
Bernadette P. Walter, Martin Heimann
* Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 294
August 1999 | Possible Changes of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in Precipitation Caused by a Meltwater Event in the North Atlantic
Martin Werner, Uwe Mikolajewicz, Georg Hoffmann, Martin Heimann
* Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres, 1999 (in press) |
| Report No. 295
August 1999 | Borehole versus Isotope Temperatures on Greenland: Seasonality Does Matter
Martin Werner, Uwe Mikolajewicz, Martin Heimann, Georg Hoffmann
* Geophysical Research Letters, 1999 (in press) |
| Report No. 296
August 1999 | Numerical Modelling of Regional Scale Transport and Photochemistry directly together with Meteorological Processes
Bärbel Langmann
* Atmospheric Environment, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 297
August 1999 | The impact of two different land-surface coupling techniques in a single column version of the ECHAM4 atmospheric model
Jan-Peter Schulz, Lydia Dümenil, Jan Polcher
* Journal of Applied Meteorology, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 298
September 1999 | Long-term climate changes due to increased CO_2 concentration in the coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model ECHAM3/LSG
Reinhard Voss, Uwe Mikolajewicz
* Climate Dynamics, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 299
October 1999 | Tropical Stabilisation of the Thermohaline Circulation in a Greenhouse Warming Simulation
Mojib Latif, Erich Roeckner
* Journal of Climate, 1999 (submitted) |
| Report No. 300
October 1999 | Impact of Global Warming on the Asian Winter Monsoon in a Coupled GCM
Zeng-Zhen Hu, Lennart Bengtsson, Klaus Arpe
* Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmosphere, 105, 4607-4624, 2000 |
| Report No. 301
December 1999 | Impacts of Deforestation and Afforestation in the Mediterranean Region as Simulated by the MPI Atmospheric GCM
Lydia Dümenil Gates, Stefan Ließ |
| Report No. 302
December 1999 | Dynamical and Cloud-Radiation Feedbacks in El Niño and Greenhouse Warming
Fei-Fei Jin, Zeng-Zhen Hu, Mojib Latif, Lennart Bengtsson, Erich Roeckner |
| Report No. 303
December 1999 | The leading variability mode of the coupled troposphere-stratosphere winter circulation in different climate regimes
Judith Perlwitz, Hans-F. Graf, Reinhard Voss
* Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 6915-6926, 2000 |

-
- Report No. 304**
January 2000
Generation of SST anomalies in the midlatitudes
Dietmar Dommenges, Mojib Latif
* Journal of Climate, 1999 (submitted)
- Report No. 305**
June 2000
Tropical Pacific/Atlantic Ocean Interactions at Multi-Decadal Time Scales
Mojib Latif
* Geophysical Research Letters, 2000 (submitted)
- Report No. 306**
June 2000
On the Interpretation of Climate Change in the Tropical Pacific
Mojib Latif
* Journal of Climate, 2000 (submitted)
- Report No. 307**
June 2000
Observed historical discharge data from major rivers for climate model validation
Lydia Dümenil Gates, Stefan Hagemann, Claudia Golz
- Report No. 308**
July 2000
Atmospheric Correction of Colour Images of Case I Waters - a Review of Case II Waters - a Review
D. Pozdnyakov, S. Bakan, H. Grassl
* Remote Sensing of Environment, 2000 (submitted)
- Report No. 309**
August 2000
A Cautionary Note on the Interpretation of EOFs
Dietmar Dommenges, Mojib Latif
* Journal of Climate, 2000 (submitted)
- Report No. 310**
September 2000
Midlatitude Forcing Mechanisms for Glacier Mass Balance Investigated Using General Circulation Models
Bernhard K. Reichert, Lennart Bengtsson, Johannes Oerlemans
* Journal of Climate, 2000 (submitted)

ISSN 0937-1060